



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,879	07/25/2002	Karl-Heinz Ritter	ICC-192/PCT/US 5648	
75	590 12/29/2004		EXAM	INER
Loctite Corporation			HARRIS, KATRINA B	
Legal Department 1001 Trout Brook Crossing Rocky Hill, CT 06067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		[ A 1! 4! N .				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/069,879	RITTER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Katrina B. Harris	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	ıne 2004.	·			
· · · · ·		action is non-final.				
3)						
	·	in parte dadyre, 1000 O.D. 11, 40				
Disposit	ion of Claims		7			
	<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 22-30 and 32-40 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 22,24-30,32,34-38 and 40 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 23,33 and 39 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>					
· —						
/)□ 8)□	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
<u>ا</u> ره	are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	e of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3747

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 23, 33 and 39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim 23 requires first surface on the sump. Reference of record shows surface on sump 2. A second surface on the block formed by 3 and 5 with the second surface bolted to the block at 7. Adhesive 4 is the only means of attachment between first surface(2) and second surface (3,5).

Regarding claim 33, differs only in that the German document abstract does not mention silicon as the adhesive.

Regarding claim 39, wherein the two flange elements are connected only by adhesive. As noted for claim 23, flange elements 2 and 3,5 are connected only by adhesive 4.

The secondary reference discloses that silicone is an adhesive of high strength.

As a whole, the combination of references would suggest to one skilled in the art to use a silicone adhesive as the "adhesive" in De 4103685.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23, 33 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korff et al (DE 4103685) in view of Scheidler (4,492,217). Korff et al discloses the claimed invention except the use of silicone adhesive for flanged connections. Scheidler teaches the use of silicone adhesive for flanged connections. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the adhesive of Scheidler in the invention of Korff to improve the manufacturing efficiency.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22, 24-30, 32, 34-38 and 40 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3747

#### Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katrina B. Harris whose telephone number is 703-308-8323. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 AM -2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Katrina B. Harris

Examiner

Art Unit 3747

**KBH** 

Tony M. Argenbright Primary Examiner